UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,302	07/20/2006	Albert David Friesen	12695.0015USWO	6457
23552 MED CH A NIT	7590 01/03/2008		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			SIMMONS, CHRIS E	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0903	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/551,302	FRIESEN, ALBERT DAVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chris E. Simmons	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	EDIVIO DET TO EVDIDE 4 MC	NITH(S) OF THIRTY (30) DAYS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory if - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rel pon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 September 2005.					
——————————————————————————————————————						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	W Lastina and anti-					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	/				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority docu 						
2. Certified copies of the priority docu						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage				
application from the International B		raceived				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not i	eceiveu.				
Attachment(s)	A) 🗔 Intention: Co	umman (PTO 413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application ·				

10/551,302 Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim 1 drawn to a method of modulating cell death comprising administering at least one compound recited in claim 1. <u>Please see specie election below.</u>
- Group II, claim(s) 2-10, drawn to a method of modulating cell death comprising administering at least one compound encompassed by the formula in claims 2.

 Please see specie election below.
- Group III, claim(s) 11-25, drawn to a method of modulating cell death comprising administering at least one compound encompassed by the formula in claims 11.

 Please see specie election below.
- Group IV, claim(s) 26-33, drawn to a method of modulating cell death comprising administering at least one compound encompassed by the formula in claim 26.

 Please see specie election below.

10/551,302 Art Unit: 1614

Group V, claim(s) 34-40, drawn to a method of modulating cell death comprising administering at least one compound encompassed by the formula in claims 34.

Please see specie election below.

Group VI, claims 41-49, drawn to a method of modulating cell death comprising administering at least one compound encompassed by the formula in claim 41.

Please see specie election below.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature between the groups is not a special technical feature. The common technical feature is the modulation of cell death by administering a vitamin B6 analog. Antioxidative vitamin B6 analogs are known to be used in cell death modulation as taught by U.S. Patent 6,369,042 (col. 2, lines 40-43) in view of http://www.dcdoctor.com/pages/rightpages-wellnesscenter/dietandnutrition/nutritionguide/nutriguide-vitamins.html, which teaches another vitamin B6 analog that is an antioxidant. Therefore the common technical feature is not Applicant's contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, the common technical feature is not a special technical feature.

10/551,302 Art Unit: 1614

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: the multitude of structurally and chemically distinct compounds encompassed by the claims.

Species election for Groups I to VII.

In order to be fully responsive to this specie election requirement, in addition to providing the structure for any elected compound(s), Applicant must further elect:

- 1. one specific compound specie encompassed by the group elected by the Applicant; for example, if Applicant elects group II, then Applicant must further elect, from the specification or the claims, a single compound encompassed by the formula of claim 2 (e.g., a compound from claim 9 or 10), or
- 2. more than one specific compound species in combination therapy; for example, if Applicant elects group II, then Applicant must further elect, from the specification or the claims, 2 or more specific compound species encompassed by the formula of claim 2 to be used in combination to modulate cell death (e.g., both compounds from claims 9 and 10).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

10/551,302 Art Unit: 1614

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 11, 26, 34, and 41.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: there is no common technical feature between the groups because the compounds between the groups lack a common core.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

10/551,302 Art Unit: 1614

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chris E. Simmons whose telephone number is (571) 272-9065. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/551,302 Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000,

> Chris Simmons **Patent Examiner**

AU 1614

November 18, 2007

Frederick Krass
Promary Examer
Art Unt 1646
Tells